September 7, 2012
Mr. James A. Tinson, AIA
Chief Executive Officer
Hart Howerton
10 East $40^{\text {th }}$ Street
New York, NY 10016
Via e-mail to jtinson@harthowerton.com
Dear Mr. Tinson:
At this juncture in our engagement, we are pleased to present this letter which summarizes a number of our strategic considerations and recommendations regarding various component hotel concepts proposed for the redevelopment of the Concord Resort ("the Resort") in Monticello, New York. We discuss each proposed hotel concept individually herein. We are also proceeding to prepare financial operating projections based on this development program.

## Casino Hotel

The proposed casino hotel is outside the scope of our work; however, this facility will materially impact the positioning of other hotel concepts depending on the scope of its meeting/exhibit space program. It is our understanding this facility is to include 20,000 to 40,000 square feet of such space, with the exact sizing yet to be determined. We believe that such a venue would replicate, to some degree, meeting space(s) programmed into other hotel concepts at the Resort and, as such, would represent a degree of competition for meetings, symposia, exhibitions and other events. To the extent possible, we have considered this variable in the formulation of our recommendations.

## Indoor Waterpark Hotel

We recommend the indoor waterpark hotel be positioned as a 425-room full-service hotel with a 90,000 square-foot indoor waterpark, either affiliated with the Great Wolf Lodge chain, Wilderness Territories chain, or be similar in character and market orientation. We recommend this facility open either concurrently with the casino hotel or as soon as possible thereafter, primarily for the following two reasons:
$>$ Our research indicates demand for this caliber of indoor waterpark hotel at this location is deep and the market should be able to readily absorb the new facility.
$>$ We are aware of at least two other comparable indoor waterpark hotel projects in the region, now in the early planning stages, which could
potentially be dissuaded from moving forward if clear steps toward a facility opening at the Resort could be demonstrated.

We believe an indoor waterpark hotel property of the type and size recommended could be reasonably expected to reach a stabilized annual occupancy in the vicinity of 73 percent at an average daily room rate ("ADR") of approximately \$340 (expressed in current-value dollars) upon stabilization by the third full operating year.

## Resort Hotel and Conference Center

We recommend the resort hotel and conference center be positioned as a 225 -room, fullservice hotel of 3.5 -to four-star quality with approximately 20,000 square feet of meeting space. From a meetings perspective, this hotel should chiefly target corporate and professional association demand, as opposed to the more social group orientation of the waterpark and casino hotel facilities. We also recommend this property offer an on-site spa and be operated synergistically with the "Monster" golf course. For example, the golf course's clubhouse could be located within this facility to maximize utilization of public and food and beverage venues.

The proposed site of this hotel, at the "Resort Core" bookending the Entertainment Village and overlooking a lake, has several implications that warrant mention here:
> The aesthetic and area amenity advantages specific to this site would contribute positively to the experience of many guests.
> The site's relative remoteness from the Monster would present logistical challenges that must be satisfactorily reconciled in order to maximize the course's contribution to the marketing of the property.

We feel that a serviceable reconciliation of logistical challenges would enable the site's positive attributes to, on balance, overcome its weaknesses. The overall market demand mix for this hotel is estimated to approximate:
> 40 percent corporate and professional association meetings;
> 40 percent leisure (including golf, spa and other "getaway" recreation; and,
> 20 percent other demand including overflow demand from the casino and waterpark hotels.

Considering seasonal factors and an evaluation of competitive supply and demand, we estimate a hotel of the type and size recommended could be reasonably expected to reach a stabilized annual occupancy in the vicinity of 64 percent at an ADR of approximately
\$205 (expressed in current-value dollars) upon stabilization by the fourth full operating year.

We recommend this property be planned for an open approximately two to three years following the casino hotel's open. Such timing should enable an evaluation of that property's position within the meetings market which, in turn, should inform a complimentary positioning of this hotel's meeting and events program.

## Adventure Hotel

We recommend the adventure hotel be positioned as a 40-unit full-service themed hotel. This property should also offer a variety of "low-impact" outdoor recreation activities such as horseback riding, fishing, shooting, hiking and biking. We recommend these activities be supported on-site and also by leveraging the region's rich natural land and water features through guided and unguided excursions. Considering seasonal trends in visitation and the activities this property could offer, we recommend the adventure hotel be operated (at least initially) six months of the year, from May through October. Core facilities comprising this property should include the following:
$>40$ guest lodging units, including a mix of family-sized and couple-sized units;
$>$ A central dining and informal meeting/staging facility; and,
> Activity support facilities.
We believe this concept would likely benefit from positive prior roll-outs of the casino, indoor waterpark and resort hotel, each of which should build awareness for the overall Resort. Considering seasonal factors and an evaluation of competitive supply and demand, we estimate a hotel of the type and size recommended could be reasonably expected to reach a stabilized seasonal occupancy in the vicinity of 73 percent at an ADR of approximately $\$ 276$ (expressed in current-value dollars) upon stabilization by the fourth full operating year.

## Limited-Service Hotel

We recommend the limited-service hotel be positioned as a midscale or economy property of approximately 80 rooms, affiliated with a quality national chain. The existing lodging stock in the local area is generally aged and of low-quality and, if positioned competitively from a room rate perspective, the proposed hotel should be able to effectively penetrate the existing demand base.

This hotel should also be an attractive alternative to more price-sensitive travelers visiting the casino, indoor waterpark and other developments at the Resort, as well as an attractive alternative for overflow demand from the Resort during peak demand periods.

Given this hotel's location and market orientation, it will likely have minimal strategic impact on development of the overall Resort. The timing of this hotel's opening could be determined fundamentally from two approaches, with each posing advantages and disadvantages:
$>$ Target an early opening of the hotel, prior to the development of the casino, in order to accommodate workers and other visitors to the Resort during the construction phase. If contracts can be secured with the Resort's construction workforce, the hotel would immediately enjoy a stable source of demand. However, this timing could necessarily delay the casino's opening (as it would have to be open prior to large-scale construction on the casino). Additionally, demand induced by the other Resort components would not materialize until several years after the hotel's opening.
> Target a later opening for the hotel, as the Resort reaches full build-out. This timing would enable the hotel to enter the market when lodging demand growth induced by the Resort has fully materialized. However, this later planned opening risks the potential development of some other outside hotel project which could displace the market need for this concept.

Considering competitive market factors and the potential for new lodging demand induced by the Resort, we estimate a hotel of the type and size recommended could be reasonably expected to reach a stabilized annual occupancy in the vicinity of 70 percent at an ADR of approximately $\$ 95$ (expressed in current-value dollars) upon stabilization. The "ramp-up" period to stabilization would be contingent on the timing of the hotel's opening, with an earlier open date likely yielding a longer ramp-up and a later opening yielding a shorter ramp-up.

## Bed \& Breakfast

Contingent on demand experienced as the Resort matures, we also envision the potential for a small, upscale "bed \& breakfast" style hotel which would be most suitably located within the Entertainment Village. This hotel should be modest in size, at 35 rooms, and significantly differentiated from other hotel concepts at the Resort with an emphasis on quiet, refined comfort. Assuming successful roll-outs of other hotel concepts, occupancies at this hotel could be reasonably expected to reach approximately 65 percent, with a ADR in the vicinity of $\$ 150$.

## Hotel Concept Positioning Perspective

The table on the following page summarizes our estimates of stabilized occupancy and average daily room rate for each of the five hotel concepts within our project scope.

| Stabilized Performance Estimates |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | No. of <br> Rooms | Estimated <br> Occupancy | Estimated ADR <br> $(2012 \$)$ |
| Indoor Waterpark Hotel | 400 | $73 \%$ | $\$ 340$ |
| Resort Hotel | 225 | $64 \%$ | $\$ 205$ |
| Adventure Hotel | 40 | $73 \%$ | $\$ 276$ |
| Limited-Service Hotel | 80 | $70 \%$ | $\$ 95$ |
| Bed \& Breakfast | $\underline{35}$ | $\underline{65 \%}$ | $\underline{\$ 150}$ |
| Total / Range | $\underline{\underline{780}}$ | $\underline{64 \% \text { to } 73 \%}$ | $\underline{\$ 95}$ to \$276 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

As the table indicates, the five hotel concepts represent total of 780 guestrooms and a continuum of average room rates from $\$ 95$ to $\$ 340$. These disparate product offerings and pricing positions will help enable the Resort's hotel portfolio to target a wide spectrum of market demand.

As in all studies of this type, the estimated results are based upon competent and efficient management and presume no significant changes in the competitive position of the lodging industry in the market area from those set forth in this letter. The conclusions reached are based upon our present knowledge of the competitive lodging market as of September 5, 2012. Since the estimated operating results are based on estimates and assumptions that are subject to uncertainty and variation, we do not represent them as results that will actually be achieved.

This letter has been prepared for your use and guidance in strategic planning and may be provided, in its entirety, to prospective franchisors, developers, lenders, equity partners, and government agencies as desired. The letter and its contents, however, may not be quoted in any appraisal, prospectus or other document without our prior written consent.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service.

Sincerely yours,


PKF Consulting

## Proposed Indoor Waterpark Hotel

Projected Operating Results
Expressed in Current-Value 2012 \$

| Number of Units: <br> Number of Annual Rooms Available: <br> Number of Rooms Occupied: <br> Annual Occupancy: <br> Average Daily Rate: <br> Revenue Per Available Room: <br> Revenues <br> Rooms <br> Food \& Beverage <br> Waterpark <br> Miscellaneous <br> Retail <br> Spa <br> Total Revenues <br> Departmental Expenses <br> Rooms <br> Food \& Beverage <br> Waterpark <br> Miscellaneous <br> Retail <br> Spa <br> Total Departmental Expenses <br> Departmental Profit <br> Undistributed Expenses <br> Administrative \& General <br> Marketing <br> Property Operation and Maintenance <br> Utility Costs <br> Total Undistributed Operating Expenses <br> Gross Operating Profit <br>  <br> Base Management Fee <br>  <br> Fixed Expenses <br> Property Taxes <br> Insurance <br> Total Fixed Expenses Consulting <br> Net Operating Income After Reserve |
| :--- |



|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $4,868,000$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| $6,319,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| $2,210,000$ | $359.9 \%$ |
| 998,000 | $65.0 \%$ |
| $2,396,000$ | $52.0 \%$ |
| 676,000 | $60.0 \%$ |
| $17,467,000$ | $35.1 \%$ |



|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $19,252,000$ | $38.7 \%$ |


| $2,987,000$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |




| Year 2 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 425 |  |
| 155,125 |  |
| 110,140 |  |
| $71 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 333.00$ |  |
| $\$ 236.43$ |  |
|  | Rmatio |
|  |  |
| $\$ 36,677,000$ | $67.7 \%$ |
| $9,011,000$ | $16.6 \%$ |
| 661,000 | $1.2 \%$ |
| $1,652,000$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $4,956,000$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| $1,212,000$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| $54,169,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $5,043,000$ | $13.7 \%$ |
| $6,570,000$ | $72.9 \%$ |
| $2,210,000$ | $334.3 \%$ |
| $1,074,000$ | $65.0 \%$ |
| $2,577,000$ | $52.0 \%$ |
| 727,000 | $60.0 \%$ |
| $18,201,000$ | $33.6 \%$ |


| $35,968,000$ | $66.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $4,058,000$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| $4,463,000$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| $1,913,000$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| $2,715,000$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| $13,149,000$ | $24.3 \%$ |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $22,819,000$ | $42.1 \%$ |


| $3,250,000$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1,354,000$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| 616,000 | $1.1 \%$ |
| $1,970,000$ | $3.6 \%$ |


| $17,599,000$ | $32.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| $2,167,000$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| $\$ 15,432,000$ | $28.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 425 |  |
| 155,125 |  |
| 113,240 |  |
| 73\% |  |
| \$340.00 |  |
| \$248.20 |  |
| Amount | Ratio |
| \$38,502,000 | 68.2\% |
| 9,243,000 | 16.4\% |
| 679,000 | 1.2\% |
| 1,699,000 | 3.0\% |
| 5,096,000 | 9.0\% |
| 1,246,000 | 2.2\% |
| 56,465,000 | 100.0\% |
|  |  |
| 5,112,000 | 13.3\% |
| 6,671,000 | 72.2\% |
| 2,210,000 | 325.5\% |
| 1,104,000 | 65.0\% |
| 2,650,000 | 52.0\% |
| 747,000 | 60.0\% |
| 18,494,000 | 32.8\% |


|  | $37,971,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |


\section*{|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $4,104,000$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| $4,250,000$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| $1,913,000$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| $2,720,000$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| $12,987,000$ | $23.0 \%$ |}


| $24,984,000$ | $44.2 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |


| $3,388,000$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |



| $19,568,000$ | $34.7 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |


| $2,823,000$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |


| $\$ 16,745,000$ | $29.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| Year 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 425 |  |
| 155,125 |  |
| 113,240 |  |
| 73\% |  |
| \$340.00 |  |
| \$248.20 |  |
| Amount | Ratio |
| \$38,502,000 | 68.2\% |
| 9,243,000 | 16.4\% |
| 679,000 | 1.2\% |
| 1,699,000 | 3.0\% |
| 5,096,000 | 9.0\% |
| 1,246,000 | 2.2\% |
| 56,465,000 | 100.0\% |
|  |  |
| 5,112,000 | 13.3\% |
| 6,671,000 | 72.2\% |
| 2,210,000 | 325.5\% |
| 1,104,000 | 65.0\% |
| 2,650,000 | 52.0\% |
| 747,000 | 60.0\% |
| 18,494,000 | 32.8\% |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $4,104,000$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| $4,250,000$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| $1,913,000$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| $2,720,000$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| $12,987,000$ | $23.0 \%$ |


| $24,984,000$ | $44.2 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |


| $3,388,000$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| 1,412,000 | 2.5\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| 616,000 | 1.1\% |
| 2,028,000 | 3.6\% |
| 19,568,000 | 34.7\% |
| 2,823,000 | 5.0\% |
| \$16,745,000 | 29.7\% |


| Year 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 425 |  |
| 155,125 |  |
| 113,240 |  |
| 73\% |  |
| \$340.00 |  |
| \$248.20 |  |
| Amount | Ratio |
| \$38,502,000 | 68.2\% |
| 9,243,000 | 16.4\% |
| 679,000 | 1.2\% |
| 1,699,000 | 3.0\% |
| 5,096,000 | 9.0\% |
| 1,246,000 | 2.2\% |
| 56,465,000 | 100.0\% |
|  |  |
| 5,112,000 | 13.3\% |
| 6,671,000 | 72.2\% |
| 2,210,000 | 325.5\% |
| 1,104,000 | 65.0\% |
| 2,650,000 | 52.0\% |
| 747,000 | 60.0\% |
| 18,494,000 | 32.8\% |


| $37,971,000$ | $67.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $4,104,000$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| $4,250,000$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| $1,913,000$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| $2,720,000$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| $12,987,000$ | $23.0 \%$ |


| $24,984,000$ | $44.2 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |


| $3,388,000$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| $\|$  <br> $1,412,000$ $2.5 \%$ <br> 616,000 $1.1 \%$ <br> $2,028,000$ $3.6 \%$ <br>   <br> $19,568,000$ $34.7 \%$ <br> $2,823,000$ $5.0 \%$ <br>   <br> $\$ 16,745,000$  | $29.7 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |

## Proposed Conference Resort

Projected Operating Results
Expressed in Current-Value 2012 \$

| Number of Units: |
| :--- |
| Number of Annual Rooms Available: |
| Number of Rooms Occupied: |
| Annual Occupancy: |
| Average Daily Rate: |
| Revenue Per Available Room: |
| Revenues <br> Rooms <br> Food \& Beverage <br> Spa <br> Other Operated Departments <br> Rentals and Other Income <br> Total Revenues <br>  <br> Departmental Expenses <br> Rooms <br> Food \& Beverage <br> Spa <br> Other Operated Departments <br> Total Departmental Expenses | 

Departmental Profit
Undistributed Expenses Administrative \& General
Marketing
Property Operation and Maintenance
Utility Costs
Total Undistributed Operating Expenses

## Gross Operating Profit

Base Management Fee

| Fixed Expenses |
| :--- |
| Property Taxes <br> Insurance |
| Total Fixed Expenses |

Net Operating Income
FF\&E Reserve
Net Operating Income After Reserve

| Year 1 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 225 |  |
| 82,125 |  |
| 45,170 |  |
| $55.0 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 220.00$ |  |
| $\$ 121.00$ | Ratio |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\$ 9,937,000$ | $49.5 \%$ |
| $7,453,000$ | $37.1 \%$ |
| $2,033,000$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| 440,000 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 203,00 | $1.0 \%$ |
| $20,066,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| Year 2 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 225 |  |
| 82,125 |  |
| 49,280 |  |
| $60.0 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 220.00$ |  |
| $\$ 132.00$ |  |
| Amount | Ratio |
|  |  |
| $\$ 10,842,000$ | $49.5 \%$ |
| $8,131,000$ | $37.1 \%$ |
| $2,218,000$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| 480,000 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 222,000 | $1.0 \%$ |
| $21,893,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| Year 3 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 225 |  |
| 82,125 |  |
| 51,740 |  |
| $63.0 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 220.00$ |  |
| $\$ 138.60$ |  |
| Amount |  |
|  | Ratio |
| $\$ 11,383,000$ | $49.5 \%$ |
| $8,537,000$ | $37.1 \%$ |
| $2,328,000$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| 504,000 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 233,000 | $1.0 \%$ |
| $22,985,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| Year 4 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 225 |  |
| 82,125 |  |
| 52,560 |  |
| $64.0 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 220.00$ |  |
| $\$ 140.80$ |  |
|  | Amount |
|  | Ratio |
| $\$ 11,563,000$ | $49.5 \%$ |
| $8,672,000$ | $37.1 \%$ |
| $2,365,000$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| 512,000 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 237,00 | $1.0 \%$ |
| $23,349,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| Year 5 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 225 |  |
| 82,125 |  |
| 52,560 |  |
| $64.0 \%$ |  |
| $\$ 220.00$ |  |
| $\$ 140.80$ | Ratio |
|  | Amount |
|  |  |
| $\$ 11,563,000$ | $49.5 \%$ |
| $8,672,000$ | $37.1 \%$ |
| $2,365,000$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| 512,000 | $2.2 \%$ |
| 237,000 | $1.0 \%$ |
| $23,349,000$ | $100.0 \%$ |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $2,416,000$ | $24.3 \%$ |
| $5,717,000$ | $76.7 \%$ |
| $1,524,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| 645,000 | $146.6 \%$ |
| $10,302,000$ | $51.3 \%$ |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $2,476,000$ | $22.8 \%$ |
| $6,010,000$ | $73.9 \%$ |
| $1,663,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| 703,000 | $146.5 \%$ |
| $10,852,000$ | $49.6 \%$ |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $2,511,000$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| $6,186,000$ | $72.5 \%$ |
| $1,746,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| 739,000 | $146.6 \%$ |
| $11,182,000$ | $48.6 \%$ |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $2,523,000$ | $21.8 \%$ |
| $6,244,000$ | $72.0 \%$ |
| $1,774,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| 750,000 | $146.5 \%$ |
| $11,291,000$ | $48.4 \%$ |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $2,523,000$ | $21.8 \%$ |
| $6,244,000$ | $72.0 \%$ |
| $1,774,000$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| 750,000 | $146.5 \%$ |
| $11,291,000$ | $48.4 \%$ |


| $9,764,000$ | $48.7 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |$|$|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1,819,000$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| $1,800,000$ | $9.0 \%$ |
| 830,000 | $4.1 \%$ |
| 878,000 | $4.4 \%$ |
| $5,327,000$ | $26.5 \%$ |


| $11,041,000$ | $50.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| $11,803,000$ | $51.4 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |



| $12,058,000$ | $51.6 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1,855,000$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| $1,800,000$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| 927,000 | $4.2 \%$ |
| 884,000 | $4.0 \%$ |
| $5,466,000$ | $25.0 \%$ |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1,877,000$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| $1,800,000$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| 927,000 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 888,000 | $3.9 \%$ |
| $5,492,000$ | $23.9 \%$ |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1,884,000$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| $1,800,000$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| 927,000 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 889,000 | $3.8 \%$ |
| $5,500,000$ | $23.6 \%$ |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1,884,000$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| $1,800,000$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| 927,000 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 889,000 | $3.8 \%$ |
| $5,500,000$ | $23.6 \%$ |


| $4,437,000$ | $22.1 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| 602,000 | $3.0 \%$ |


| $5,575,000$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 401,000 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 135,000 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 536,000 | $2.7 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $3,299,000$ | $16.4 \%$ |
| 401,000 |  |


| $2.0 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 2,898,000$ | $14.4 \%$ |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 438,000 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 135,000 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 573,000 | $2.6 \%$ |
| $4,345,000$ | $19.8 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 657,000 | $3.0 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 3,688,000$ | $16.8 \%$ |


| $6,311,000$ | $27.5 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| 690,000 | $3.0 \%$ |


| $6,558,000$ | $28.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| $6,558,000$ | $28.1 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| 700,000 | $3.0 \%$ |


|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 460,000 | $2.0 \%$ |
| 135,000 | $0.6 \%$ |
| 595,000 | $2.6 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 026,000  | $21.9 \%$ |
| 919,000 | $4.0 \%$ |
| $24,107,000$ | $17.9 \%$ |


| 467,000 | 2.0\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| 135,000 | 0.6\% |
| 602,000 | 2.6\% |
| 5,256,000 | 22.5\% |
| 934,000 | 4.0\% |
| \$4,322,000 | 18.5\% |


| 467,000 | 2.0\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| 135,000 | 0.6\% |
| 602,000 | 2.6\% |
| 5,256,000 | 22.5\% |
| 934,000 | 4.0\% |
| \$4,322,000 | 18.5\% |

Source: PKF Consulting

| Proposed Adventure Resort Hotel Projected Operating Results Expressed in Current-Value 2012 \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year 1 |  | Year 2 |  | Year 3 |  | Year 4 |  | Year 5 |  |
| Number of Units: <br> Number of Annual Rooms Available: <br> Number of Rooms Occupied: <br> Annual Occupancy: <br> Average Daily Rate: <br> Revenue Per Available Room: | 40 |  | 40 |  | 40 |  | 40 |  | 40 |  |
|  | 7,360 |  | 7,360 |  | 7,360 |  | 7,360 |  | 7,360 |  |
|  | 4,860 |  | 5,150 |  | 5,300 |  | 5,370 |  | 5,370 |  |
|  | 66\% |  | 70\% |  | 72\% |  | 73\% |  | 73\% |  |
|  | \$251.00 |  | \$261.00 |  | \$273.00 |  | \$276.00 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 276.00 \\ & \$ 201.48 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | \$165.66 |  | \$182.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rooms | \$1,220,000 | 59.6\% | \$1,344,000 | 60.5\% | \$1,447,000 | 61.6\% | \$1,482,000 | 61.9\% | \$1,482,000 | 61.9\% |
| Food \& Beverage | 535,000 | 26.1\% | 567,000 | 25.5\% | 583,000 | 24.8\% | 591,000 | 24.7\% | 591,000 | 24.7\% |
| Other Operated Departments | 219,000 | 10.7\% | 232,000 | 10.5\% | 239,000 | 10.2\% | 242,000 | 10.1\% | 242,000 | 10.1\% |
| Rentals and Other Income | 73,000 | 3.6\% | 77,000 | 3.5\% | 80,000 | 3.4\% | 81,000 | 3.4\% | 81,000 | 3.4\% |
| Total Revenues | 2,047,000 | 100.0\% | 2,220,000 | 100.0\% | 2,349,000 | 100.0\% | 2,396,000 | 100.0\% | 2,396,000 | 100.0\% |
| Departmental Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rooms | 430,000 | 35.2\% | 433,000 | 32.2\% | 434,000 | 30.0\% | 434,000 | 29.3\% | 434,000 | 29.3\% |
| Food \& Beverage | 419,000 | 78.3\% | 433,000 | 76.4\% | 440,000 | 75.5\% | 444,000 | 75.1\% | 444,000 | 75.1\% |
| Other Operated Departments | 98,000 | 44.7\% | 104,000 | 44.8\% | 107,000 | 44.8\% | 109,000 | 45.0\% | 109,000 | 45.0\% |
| Total Departmental Expenses | 947,000 | 46.3\% | 970,000 | 43.7\% | 981,000 | 41.8\% | 987,000 | 41.2\% | 987,000 | 41.2\% |
| Departmental Profit | 1,100,000 | 53.7\% | 1,250,000 | 56.3\% | 1,368,000 | 58.2\% | 1,409,000 | 58.8\% | 1,409,000 | 58.8\% |
| Undistributed Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative \& General | 280,000 | 13.7\% | 280,000 | 12.6\% | 280,000 | 11.9\% | 280,000 | 11.7\% | 280,000 | 11.7\% |
| Marketing | 160,000 | 7.8\% | 160,000 | 7.2\% | 160,000 | 6.8\% | 160,000 | 6.7\% | 160,000 | 6.7\% |
| Property Operation and Maintenance | 62,000 | 3.0\% | 66,000 | 3.0\% | 69,000 | 2.9\% | 69,000 | 2.9\% | 69,000 | 2.9\% |
| Utility Costs | 68,000 | 3.3\% | 68,000 | 3.1\% | 68,000 | 2.9\% | 68,000 | 2.8\% | 68,000 | 2.8\% |
| Total Undistributed Operating Expenses | 570,000 | 27.8\% | 574,000 | 25.9\% | 577,000 | 24.6\% | 577,000 | 24.1\% | 577,000 | 24.1\% |
| Gross Operating Profit | 530,000 | 25.9\% | 676,000 | 30.5\% | 791,000 | 33.7\% | 832,000 | 34.7\% | 832,000 | 34.7\% |
| Base Management Fee | 61,000 | 3.0\% | 67,000 | 3.0\% | 70,000 | 3.0\% | 72,000 | 3.0\% | 72,000 | 3.0\% |
| Fixed Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes | 40,000 | 2.0\% | 40,000 | 1.8\% | 40,000 | 1.7\% | 40,000 | 1.7\% | 40,000 | 1.7\% |
| Insurance | 10,000 | 0.5\% | 10,000 | 0.5\% | 10,000 | 0.4\% | 10,000 | 0.4\% | 10,000 | 0.4\% |
| Total Fixed Expenses | 50,000 | 2.4\% | 50,000 | 2.3\% | 50,000 | 2.1\% | 50,000 | 2.1\% | 50,000 | 2.1\% |
| Net Operating Income | 419,000 | 20.5\% | 559,000 | 25.2\% | 671,000 | 28.6\% | 710,000 | 29.6\% | 710,000 | 29.6\% |
| FF\&E Reserve | 41,000 | 2.0\% | 67,000 | 3.0\% | 94,000 | 4.0\% | 96,000 | 4.0\% | 96,000 | 4.0\% |
| Net Operating Income After Reserve | \$378,000 | 18.5\% | \$492,000 | 22.2\% | \$577,000 | 24.6\% | \$614,000 | 25.6\% | \$614,000 | 25.6\% |
| Source: PKF Consulting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





