

**TOWN OF EAST GREENBUSH  
RESOLUTION 65-2014**

**A Resolution In Support of Proposals for Casino Sites within the Town of East Greenbush**

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board of East Greenbush is responsible for ensuring the fiscal stability and economic vitality of our community; and

**WHEREAS**, via a statewide referendum in November, 2013, state voters approved a plan to site six casinos at locations throughout the state to bolster economic development efforts; and

**WHEREAS**, voters in East Greenbush and Rensselaer County approved the statewide referendum for the siting of the casinos; and

**WHEREAS**, in the months following approval of the casino siting plan in the 2013 elections, there have been numerous proposals in the Capital Region and upstate New York for prospective casino locations; and

**WHEREAS**, siting of a casino in a host community is worth millions in host fees and increased property tax revenue, and this Town Board believes it prudent for the town to indicate support to allow for any reasonable casino siting offers to be presented to the town for consideration and due deliberation; and

**WHEREAS**, this Town Board further recognizes the host fees from a casino would be of significant benefit to the residents and taxpayers of the town, especially given the fiscal conditions the town is now facing; and

**WHEREAS**, Rensselaer County has also previously adopted a resolution stating support for location of a casino in the county, with the county also benefiting from host fees, which will also result in an additional benefit to the taxpayers of the town, along with several other area communities who have adopted Resolutions of support; now, therefore, be it

**RESOLVED**, the Town Board joins Rensselaer County and other area communities in declaring support for the siting of a casino in East Greenbush to allow for presentation of proposals that benefit the town and its residents.

*Councilperson Mangold made a motion to amend the above Resolution to read:*

***WHEREAS**, Audits for the Town have been stopped, the Board has not seen fund balances, year to date variance reports or reporting on any financial matter since the beginning of the year.*

***WHEREAS**, the fiscal conditions of the Town are not known to the Board; now, therefore, be it*

***RESOLVED**, the following wording from 6<sup>th</sup> recital “especially given the fiscal conditions of the Town is now facing” be removed*

The motion to amend was duly moved by Councilperson Mangold and seconded by Councilperson Malone and brought to a vote resulting as follows:

Councilperson Mangold	VOTED	YES
Councilperson Malone	VOTED	YES
Supervisor Langley	VOTED	NO
Councilperson DiMartino	VOTED	NO
Councilperson Matters	VOTED	NO

The foregoing Resolution as written was duly moved by Supervisor Langley and seconded by Councilperson DiMartino and brought to a vote resulting as follows:

Supervisor Langley	VOTED	YES
Councilperson DiMartino	VOTED	YES
Councilperson Mangold	VOTED	YES
Councilperson Malone	VOTED	YES
Councilperson Matters	VOTED	YES

April 16, 2014